



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/810,865	03/16/2001	Stephen J. Brown	HERO-1-1112	6556	
	26111 7	590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
	•	SSLER, GOLDSTEI	N & FOX PLLC	PHAN, THAI Q		
		ORK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		2128		
				DATE MAILED, 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/810,865		BROWN, STEPHEN J.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Thai Q. Phan		2128				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •	N. V. IO OFT TO I	-	2) =2011				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutory od will apply and will ex tute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	August 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 37-66 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-66</u> is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	5		(-/- (/-				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents	s have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	•	• • •					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified	l copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (·				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <i>Apr/ May 2004</i> .	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
C Dotoot and T	1							

Application/Control Number: 09/810,865

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 08/09/2004. Claims 1-36 were cancelled. New claims 37-66 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 37-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode with the enablement requirement contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the claims require a feature of "to prevent hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia", "a supplemental insulin bolus dose", "insulin action remaining", "a fraction of insulin action" and "target blood glucose value will fall outside the target range" as cited in claim 55. The specification does not describe and provide the analysis for how the self-care control parameters, control parameters and control mechanisms would be able to prevent the complicate disease such as hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia, determining insulin action remaining, a faction of insulin action, a supplemental insulin bolus dose, etc. as claimed. This subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,865

Art Unit: 2128

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 37-66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-32 of U. S. Patent No. 5,956,501 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a system and device output for outputting a signal configured in a simulation of the self-care action according to at least one self care control parameters of a patient. The claims in US patent no. 5,956,501 are to control and prevent a disease. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the disease control and simulation in the patent claims would be for controlling a

Application/Control Number: 09/810,865

Art Unit: 2128

plurality of diseases and would implicitly include the claimed limitations to prevent other disease such as hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 37-66 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US patent no. 5,733,259, issued to Valcke et al, on Mar. 1998

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,865 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Q. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere can be reached on 571-272-3780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 30, 2005

Thai Phan

Patent Examiner